Prerequisites for successful scheduling:

1.) CPU-I/O-Burst Cycle

Experience shows: I/O occurs after fixed amount of time in $\geq 90\% \Rightarrow$ appropriate time for re-scheduling

2.) Preemptive Scheduling: Processes can be forced to relinquish processor

Scheduling Criteria

Have various, often conflicting criteria to measure success of scheduling:

- CPU utilisation
- Throughput: Number of processes completed within a given time
- Turnaround time: Time it takes for each process to be executed
- Waiting time: Amount of time spent in the ready-queue
- Response time: time between submission of request and production of first response

Scheduling Algorithms

1.) First-Come, First-Served (FCFS)

Jobs are put in a queue, and served according to arrival time

Easy to implement but CPU-intensive processes can cause long waiting time.

FCFS with preemption is called Round-Robin standard method in time sharing systems

Problem: get the time quantum (time before preemption) right.
- too short: too many context switches
- too long: Process can monopolise CPU

Shortest Job First

Next job is one with shortest CPU-burst time (shortest CPU-time before next I/O-operation)

Not implementable, but this is algorithm with the smallest average waiting time $\Rightarrow$ Strategy against which to measure other ones

Approximation: Can we predict the burst-time?
Only hope is extrapolation from previous behaviour done by weighting recent times more than older ones.

$$\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha)\tau_n$$
### Priority Scheduling

Assumption: A priority is associated with each process
CPU is allocated to process with highest priority
Equal-priority processes scheduled according to FCFS

Two variations:
- **With preemption**: newly-arrived process with higher priority may gain processor immediately if process with lower priority is running
- **Without preemption**: newly arrived process always waits

Preemption good for ensuring quick response time for high-priority processes
Disadvantage: Starvation of low-priority processes possible
Solution: Increase priority of processes after a while (Aging)

### Multilevel Queue Scheduling

Applicable when processes can be partitioned into groups (e.g., interactive and batch processes):

Split ready-queue into several separate queues, with separate scheduling algorithm

Scheduling between queues usually implemented as pre-emptive priority scheduling

Possible setup of queues:
- System processes
- Interactive processes
- Interactive editing processes
- Batch processes

Other way of organising queues: according to length of CPU-burst