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Design principles for protection mechanisms
[Saltzer and Schroeder 1975]
Caveat: No magic formulas…

We have no silver bullet.
on the contrary:
Security is about trade-offs.
Conflicting engineering criteria….  
Conflicting requirements…
Overcoming human, technology and market failures.
Twelve principles

1. Secure the weakest link
2. Defend in depth
3. Fail secure
4. Grant least privilege
5. Economise mechanism
6. Authenticate requests
7. Control access
8. Assume secrets not safe
9. Make security usable
10. Promote privacy
11. Audit and monitor
12. Proportionality principle
1. Secure the weakest link

- Security practitioners often point out that security is a chain; and just as a chain is only as strong as the weakest link, a software security system is only as secure as its weakest component.

- Attackers go after the weakest point in a system, and the weakest point is rarely a security feature or function. When it comes to secure design, make sure to consider the weakest link in your system and ensure that it is secure enough.
Gene Spafford's story

- Imagine you are charged with transporting some gold securely from one homeless guy who lives in a park bench (we’ll call him Windows) to another homeless person who lives across town on a steam grate (we’ll call her Android). You hire an armored truck to transport the gold. The name of the transport company is "Applied Crypto, Inc." Now imagine you’re an attacker who is supposed to steal the gold.

- Would you attack the Applied Crypto truck, Linux the homeless guy, or Android the homeless woman?
Caveat: the human

- Humans are often considered the “weakest link” in the security chain.
- They ignore security policies and stick passwords to their computer monitors.
- They can be coerced, blackmailed, “socially engineered” (=tricked).
- Even security experts are vulnerable to phishing.
- But, “the user is not the enemy”...
2. Defend in depth

- The idea behind the “defence in depth” approach is to defend a system against any particular attack using several independent methods.

- It is a layering tactic, conceived by the US National Security Agency (NSA) as a comprehensive approach to information and electronic security.
Redundancy and layering

- Also called the "belt and braces" approach.
- Redundancy and layering is usually a good thing in security. Don’t count on your firewall to block all malicious traffic; use an intrusion detection system as well. If you are designing an application, prevent single points of failure with security redundancies and layers of defence.
- The idea behind defence in depth is to manage risk with diverse defensive strategies, so that if one layer of defence turns out to be inadequate, another layer of defence will hopefully prevent a full breach.
Defense in Depth

- Governance, Risk Management, & Compliance
- Identity & Access Management
- Data
  - Database Security (online storage & backups)
  - Content Security, Information Rights Management
  - Message Level Security
  - Federation (SSO, Identity Propagation, Trust, …)
  - Authentication, Authorization, Auditing (AAA)
  - Security Assurance (coding practices)
  - Platform O/S, Vulnerability Mgmt (patches), Desktop (malware protection), …
  - Transport Layer Security (encryption, identity)
  - Firewalls, network address translation, denial of service prevention, message parsing and validation, …
  - Fences, walls, guards, locks, keys, badges, …
  - Data Classification, Password Strengths, Code Reviews, Usage Policies, …

- Application
- Host
- Internal Network
- Perimeter
- Physical
- Policies, Procedures, & Awareness
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Defence in depth: examples

- Anti virus software
- Authentication and password security
- Biometrics
- Demilitarized zones (DMZ)
- Encryption
- Firewalls (hardware or software)
- Hashing passwords
- Intrusion detection systems (IDS)
- Logging and auditing
- Multi-factor authentication
- Vulnerability scanners
- Physical security (e.g. deadbolt locks)
- Timed access control
- Internet Security Awareness Training
- Virtual private network (VPN)
- Sandboxing
- Intrusion Protection System (IPS)
Military: Defence in Depth
Layers

Computer systems have multiple layers, e.g.

- HW components
- Chipset/MB
- OS
- TCP/IP stack
- HTTP application
- Secure http layer
- Java script
- User/smart card interface
Example

- Attack
  - Hardware Broadband Router/Firewall
  - OS / Software Firewall
  - Antivirus / Antimalware
  - Security Patches
  - Vista UAC
Brainstorming defence in depth

• Helps to think about these three aspects
  • People
  • Technology
  • Operations

• Example concerns
  • Attacker may get my users' passwords
  • Attacker may cause his own code to run on my servers
Attacker may get my users' passwords

Defence in depth:

- **P**: Education against social engineering and phishing
- **P**: Education about choosing good passwords
- **T**: Defend pw file using firewall
- **T**: Hash/encrypt/... pw file
- **T**: Put additional security if not from familiar IP addr
- **T**: Use one-time passwords
- **O**: Log guessing attempts
- **O**: Rate-limit guesses
Chains vs. Layers
Two Cases

Security can be like a chain:

or, better

Security can be layered
3. Fail secure

- A fail-secure system is one that, in the event of a specific type of failure, responds in a way such that access or data are denied.
  - Related: a fail-safe system, in the event of failure, causes no harm, or at least a minimum of harm, to other systems or to personnel.
- Fail-secure and fail-safe may suggest different outcomes.
  - For example, if a building catches fire, fail-safe systems would unlock doors to ensure quick escape and allow firefighters inside, while fail-secure would lock doors to prevent unauthorized access to the building.
Fail secure

- Default is to deny access.
- Programmers should check return values for exceptions/failures.
- Base access decisions on permission rather than exclusion. This principle means that the default situation is lack of access, and the protection scheme identifies conditions under which access is permitted.
  - The alternative, in which mechanisms attempt to identify conditions under which access should be refused, presents the wrong psychological base for secure system design.
Secure-fail programming

• Look at the following (pseudo) code and see whether you can work out the security flaw:

```c
DWORD dwRet = IsAccessAllowed(...);
if (dwRet == ERROR_ACCESS_DENIED) {
    // Security check failed
    // Inform user that access is denied
} else {
    // Security check OK
    // Perform task
}
```
• Secure-fail version:

```c
DWORD dwRet = IsAccessAllowed(...);
if (dwRet == NO_ERROR) {
    // Security check OK
    // Perform task
} else {
    // Security check failed
    // Inform user that access is denied
}
```
Fail-insecure designs

- Interrupted boot of OS drops user into root shell.
- Browser unable to validate HTTPS certificate
  - Tells user, allows user to click-through to proceed anyway.
- Point-of-sale terminal unable to contact card-issuing bank
  - Allows the transaction if less than a certain threshold.
  - Risk management overrides security principle
Why we often have fail-insecure

- Fail-insecure often introduced by desire to support legacy (insecure) versions.
  - E.g., TLS allows old clients to use weak crypto keys

- Sometimes introduced because it may be easier to work with short “blacklist” than with long “whitelist”.
  - List of known-phishing websites
  - List of known-malicious users, ...
4. Grant least privilege

The principle of least privilege

- also known as the principle of minimal privilege or the principle of least authority

requires that in a particular abstraction layer of a computing environment, every module (such as a process, a user, or a program, depending on the subject) must be able to access only the information and resources that are necessary for its legitimate purpose.
Least privilege: rationale

• When code is limited in the system-wide actions it may perform, vulnerabilities in one application cannot be used to exploit the rest of the system.

• For example, Microsoft states “Running in standard user mode gives customers increased protection against inadvertent system-level damage caused by malware, such as root kits, spyware, and undetected viruses”
Least privilege: example

- A user account gets only those privileges which are essential to that user's work.
- A backup user does not need to install software: hence, the backup user has rights only to run backup and backup-related applications. Any other privileges, such as installing new software, are blocked.
Least privilege: example

● In UNIX systems, root privileges are necessary to bind a program to a port number less than 1024.
  ● For example, to run a mail server on port 25, the traditional SMTP port, a program needs the privileges of the root user.
● Once set up, the program should relinquish its root privileges, not continue running as root.
● A large problem with many e-mail and other servers is that they don't give up their root permissions once they grab the mail port (Sendmail is a classic example).
Why we often fail to assign least privilege

- It's an effort to figure out what the least privilege needed actually is.
- The lazy (or overworked) designer or programmer will often assign the max privilege, because that's easy.
- "If we don't run as admin, stuff breaks"
- It's very hard to design systems that don't have some root/sysadmin that has all the privileges
  - E.g., Google employees getting fired for reading users gmail
Related: separate privileges

• Design your system with many different privileges
  • “file access” is not one privilege, but many
  • “network access”: same thing
• Reading vs writing
Separation of Privileges

Split system into pieces, each with limited privileges.

**Implementation in software engineering:**
Have computer program fork into two processes.

- The main program drops privileges (e.g. dropping root under Unix).
- The smaller program keeps privileges in order to perform a certain task.
- The two halves then communicate via a socket pair.

**Benefits:**
- A successful attack against the larger program will gain minimal access.
  - even though the pair of programs will perform privileged operations.
Related: Segregation of Duties

Achieved by (closely related):

- **Principle of Functional separation:**

  Several people should cooperate. Examples:
  - one developer should not work alone on a critical application,
  - the tester should not be the same person as the developer
  - If two or more steps are required to perform a critical function, at least two different people should perform them, etc.

  This principle makes it very hard for one person to compromise the security, on purpose of inadvertently.

- **Principle of Dual Control:**

  - Example 1: in the SWIFT banking data management system there are two security officers: left security officer and right security officer. Both must cooperate to allow certain operations.
  - Example 2: nuclear devices command.
  - Example 3: cryptographic secret sharing
5. Economise mechanism

- Complexity is the enemy of security

- It’s just too easy to screw things up in a complicated system, both from a design perspective and from an implementation perspective.
Economising mechanism: related

- Keep it simple, stupid.
  - A design principle noted by the U.S. Navy in 1960.
- Minimalistic design.
  - Developers may create user interfaces made to be as simple as possible by eliminating buttons and dialog boxes that may potentially confuse the user.
- Worse is better.
  - Quality does not necessarily increase with functionality. Software that is limited, but simple to use, may be more appealing to the user/market.
- "You ain't gonna need it" (YAGNI)
  - A principle of extreme programming, says that a programmer should add functionality only when actually needed, rather than when you just foresee that you need them.
Why things get complex

- Desire for features; mission-creep

- New technologies, new possibilities
  - Stretches the designs we already have
  - Causes interfaces to get used in ways not intended
  - Causes new stuff to be layered on top of old stuff

- Desire for legacy compatibility
  - Desire to keep things interoperable
Why complexity leads to insecurity

- Cognitive overload
  - Designer can't keep all the possibilities in her head at once.
  - Security analyst can't reason about all the access possibilities
6. Authenticate requests

- Be reluctant to trust
  - Assume that the environment where your system operates is hostile. Don’t let just anyone call your API, and certainly don’t let just anyone gain access to your secrets!
  - If you rely on a cloud component, put in some checks to make sure that it has not been spoofed or otherwise compromised.
  - Anticipate attacks such as command-injection, cross-site scripting, and so on.
Be Reluctant to Trust

Cf. Least Privilege.
Trust

Definitions:

• A trusted system [paradoxical definition]: one that can break the security policy.
• Trustworthy system: one that won’t fail us.

What is trusted and not trustworthy?

What is not trusted, but is trustworthy?
Trust

Definitions:

• **A trusted** system [paradoxical definition]: one that *can break* the security policy.
• **Trustworthy** system: one that *won’t fail* us.

An employee who is selling secrets is **trusted** and **NOT trustworthy** at the same time.

Suppose Dropbox is trustworthy, and yet Alice encrypts her files before putting them there. Then, for her, Dropbox is **trustworthy** but **not trusted**.
Be Trustworthy

Public scrutiny promotes trust.

Commitment to security is visible in the long run.

even if the customers will not switch
they will refuse to give you a rise (buy a more expensive version of the product).
7. Control access

Mediate completely --

- Every access and every object should be checked, every time. Make sure your access control system is thorough and designed to work in the multi-threaded world we all inhabit today.

- Make sure that if permissions change on the fly in your system, that access is systematically rechecked. Don’t cache results that grant authority or wield authority.

- In a world where massively distributed systems are pervasive and machines with multiple processors are the norm, this principle can be tricky to implement.
8. Assume secrets not safe

Assume your secrets are not safe --

- Security is not obscurity, especially when it comes to secrets stored in your code. Assume that an attacker will find out about as much about your system as a power user, and more.

- The attacker’s toolkit includes decompilers, disassemblers, and any number of analysis tools. Expect them to be aimed at your system.

- Finding a crypto key in binary code is easy. An entropy sweep can make it stick out like a sore thumb.
9. Make security usable

• If your security mechanisms are too annoying and painful, your users will go to great length to circumvent or avoid them. Make sure that your security system is as secure as it needs to be, but no more.

• If you affect usability too deeply, nobody will use your stuff, no matter how secure it is. Then it will be very secure, and very near useless.
Be Even More Friendly:

• Don’t annoy people.
  – Minimize the number of clicks
  – Minimize the number of things to remember
  – Make security easy to understand and self-explanatory
  – Security should NOT impact users that obey the rules.

• Established defaults should be reasonable.
  – People should not feel trapped.

• It should be easy to
  – Restrict access
  – Give access
  – Personalize settings
  – Etc…
10. Promote privacy

- Collect only the user *personally identifiable information* (PII) you need for your specific purpose
- Store it securely, limit access
- Delete it once your purpose is done
11. Audit and monitor

- Record what actions took place and who performed them.
  - This contributes to both disaster recovery (business continuity) and accountability.
12. Proportionality Principle

Reminder: security isn't the only thing we want.

Maximize security???

- vs -

Maximize “utility” (the benefits) while limiting risk to an acceptable level within reasonable cost commensurate with attacker's resources.
Twelve principles

1. Secure the weakest link
2. Defend in depth
3. Fail secure
4. Grant least privilege
5. Economise mechanism
6. Authenticate requests
7. Control access
8. Assume secrets not safe
9. Make security usable
10. Promote privacy
11. Audit and monitor
12. Proportionality principle
Remember the caveat: There are no magic formulas…

We have no silver bullet.

Security is about trade-offs. Conflicting engineering criteria…. Conflicting requirements…

The goal is to have enough security to thwart the attackers we care about.