

Continuous maps

X, Y topological spaces

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ a function

f is continuous if -

for every $V \subseteq Y$ open, $f^{-1}(V)$ is open

$\{x \in X \mid f(x) \in V\}$
inverse image

For any function f ,

$f^{-1}: \mathcal{P}Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$ preserves all unions & intersections

Continuity says it restricts to

$$f^{-1}: \Omega Y \rightarrow \Omega X$$

ΩX and ΩY are both frames

f^{-1} is a frame homomorphism

For each continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$

there is a frame homomorphism

$$f^{-1}: \Omega Y \rightarrow \Omega X$$

(52)

Logical view of continuous maps

$(G_1, R_1), (G_2, R_2)$ two geometric theories

Models of theory are like points of a space.
How might you transform models of (G_1, R_1) to models of (G_2, R_2) ?

Given: model M of (G_1, R_1)
truth value $M(g)$ for each $g \in G_1$,
respecting axioms R_1 ,

How to construct model N of (G_2, R_2)
out of it?

Need truth values $N(h)$ ($h \in G_2$)

IDEA: Do everything geometrically
- to preserve affirmativity

$M(g)$'s all supposed to be affirmative.
Define each $N(h)$ as disjunction of finite
conjunctions of $M(g)$'s

Then $N(h)$'s affirmative too.

And do it uniformly

- same construction works for
all models.

(53)

In terms of Lindenbaum algebras

Disjunctions of finite conjunctions of g 's are (modulo \leftrightarrow) elements of $\text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle$

\therefore Want function $G_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle$

Says for each $h \in G_2$, how its truth value $N(h)$ in N is constructed from the $M(g)$'s.

But want N to be a model

$\therefore \alpha_0$ must respect relations R_2

α_0 is a generalized model of (G_2, R_2) in $\text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle$

- and we know these correspond to frame homomorphisms

$$\text{Fr}\langle G_2 | R_2 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle$$

Can sensibly define -

Geometric transformations of models of (G_1, R_1) to models of (G_2, R_2)

and frame homomorphisms

$$\text{Fr}\langle G_2 | R_2 \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle$$

Action on models

Ordinary (ungeneralized) model of (G_1, R_1)

- is frame homomorphism $\text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{L}$

Compose with α -

$$\text{Fr}\langle G_2 | R_2 \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{L}$$

Get model of (G_2, R_2)

|| Works just the same with generalized models

$$\text{Fr}\langle G_2 | R_2 \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle \xrightarrow{M} A$$

Important case: generic model

$$\text{Fr}\langle G_2 | R_2 \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} \text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle$$

- Take generic model in $\text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle$

- Apply model transformer

- Get model of (G_2, R_2) in $\text{Fr}\langle G_1 | R_1 \rangle$

- That's the frame homomorphism.

Non-standard truth values

IDEA: To define f transforming models of (G_1, R_1) to models of (G_2, R_2)

Say: Let M be a model of (G_1, R_1)

Define $f(M)$, a model of (G_2, R_2)
but do it geometrically

Let M be a model of (G_1, R_1)

- Now have new truth values $M(G)$
- and derived truth values (V, \wedge)
- We are in a mathematical world where truth values are $\text{Fr}\langle G, R \rangle$ instead of Ω
- M is the generic model
- We can still do mathematics in this world if we're careful (work geometrically)
- Define $f(M)$

Back in the standard world
what was a single model $f(M)$
inside the box

becomes a frame homomorphism outside
continuity automatic! (55)

Locales

"Frames pretending to be topological spaces"

A locale X is equipped with a frame ΩX

A continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between
or just ^{locales} map is a frame homomorphism $\Omega f: \Omega Y \rightarrow \Omega X$

X is presented by a geometric theory (G, R)

if ΩX is $\text{Fr}\langle G, R \rangle$

Two categories

Fr - frames, frame homomorphisms

Loc - locales, continuous maps

$$\text{Loc} = \text{Fr}^{\text{op}}$$

Language for locales

- use language appropriate to spaces
- avoid any hint that a locale "is" its frame

- instead, a locale X "has" a frame ΩX

Trivial locales - 1

Locale 1 is presented by (\emptyset, \emptyset)

- no generators
- no axioms

Just one model!, available for free

$$\Omega 1 = \text{Fr}\langle \emptyset | \emptyset \rangle = \Omega$$

Proof: Ω is initial frame

- for any frame A , \exists unique homomorphism $\Omega! : \Omega \rightarrow A$.

Uniqueness: If $p \in \Omega$ (p a truth value)

$$\text{then } p = \bigvee \{ \text{true} \mid p \}$$

$$\therefore \Omega!(p) = \bigvee \{ 1_A \mid p \}$$

Existence: Must show! a homomorphism.

$$\text{e.g. } \Omega!(p) \wedge \Omega!(q) = \bigvee \{ 1_A \mid p \} \wedge \bigvee \{ 1_A \mid q \}$$

$$= \bigvee \{ 1_A \mid p \wedge q \} \text{ by distributivity}$$

$$= \Omega!(p \wedge q)$$

Note Proof may look unexpected.

But have avoided saying every truth value is either true or false.

Corollary 1 is final locale.

Unique map $! : X \rightarrow 1$.

(57)

Trivial locales - 0

The locale 0 is presented by theory $(\emptyset, \{ \text{true} \Rightarrow \text{false} \})$

No models.

- exactly one interpretation of generators (nothing needs to be interpreted)
- axiom requires that true, which can be affirmed in any model, is impossible.
- \therefore models are impossible.

$\Omega 0$ has exactly one element

Axiom implies top \leq bottom

\therefore all elements equal.

\emptyset is initial locale

- because the singleton frame $\Omega 0$ is obviously final.

(58)

Points of locales

Suppose X presented by theory (G, R)

Model of theory

$$= \text{homomorphism } \text{Fr}\langle G|R \rangle \xrightarrow{\quad} \Omega$$

$\text{"}\Omega X$ $\Omega 1$

$$= \text{map } 1 \rightarrow X$$

For any locale X , define point of X
is map $1 \rightarrow X$.

Generalized points

X, Y two locales, Y presented by (G, R)

Generalized model of (G, R) in ΩX

$$= \text{homomorphism } \text{Fr}\langle G|R \rangle \xrightarrow{\quad} \Omega X$$

$\text{"}\Omega Y$

$$= \text{map } X \rightarrow Y$$

For any Y , define
generalized point of Y (at stage X)
is a map $X \rightarrow Y$

Think of it as a point of Y "parametrized by"
points of X .

Non-classical reasoning

Generalized points are useful
e.g. defining $f: X \rightarrow Y$

Let x be a point of X
⋮
 $f(x)$ defined as ----

Inside the box -

- working with generalized points at stage X
- x is generic point of X , $\text{id}: X \rightarrow X$
- $f(x)$ is a generalized point of Y .
- have a mathematics in which ΩX provides non-standard truth values

There's a price to pay

- not all classical mathematics is valid
- e.g. don't have "excluded middle"
(every proposition either true or false)
- need intuitionistic reasoning to make it work at all
- weaker geometric reasoning to transfer properly between stages
- e.g. so construction on generic point works for all generalized points
- Must understand predicate geometric logic.

